



2010-2011 Student Services Fee Advisory Committee Meeting
 For 2011-2012 Budget
 Date: 01/21/11
 Time: 03:00 – 05:00pm
 Location: HUB 268

Name	Association	Voting ¹ Privilege	Attendance
Coyne, Gary	GSA	X	P
Curiel, Rudy	Staff	X	P
Flores, Stephanie	Ex-Officio, AP&B	-	P
Jackson-Boothby, Adam	GSA	X	A
Keller, Pierre	Faculty	X	P
Kim, Chris	ASUCR	X	P
Kim, Danny	Ex-Officio-VCSA	-	P
Lau, Tiffany	ASUCR	X	A
Lee, Stephen	ASUCR	X	P
Maduena, Alex	ASUCR	X	P
Park, Nick [Alternate]	ASUCR	X	P
Ren, Johnny	ASUCR	X	P
Saleh, Ali	ASUCR	X	P
Simmons, Dana	Faculty	X	A
Tuz, Jolene	Staff	X	P
Wright, Jennifer	GSA	X	P
Huang, Elizabeth	Secretary	-	P
Lillie, Sue	Staff Support	-	P
Guests			

P-present A-absent L-late Exc.-excused

¹ X indicates voting privilege

Meeting Minutes

1) Welcome 3:10PM

2) Approve Agenda

MOTION:	Gary Coyne/Stephen Lee
----------------	------------------------

- a) Moved to approve the agenda for 01/21/11 meeting
 - i) Vote: unanimous – motion carries

3) Approve Minutes from November 03, 2011

MOTION:	Gary Coyne/Jennifer Wright
----------------	----------------------------

- a) Moved to approve the minutes from 11/03/11 meeting
 - i) Vote: unanimous – motion carries

4) Reviewing of Addenda Requests by Danny Kim

- a) Total perm request: \$2,324,238
- b) Planned to allocate \$1.5 million, with \$1 million being perm and the rest for temp
- c) Total temp request: \$897,451
- d) Combined total: \$3.2 million
- e) Subcommittees will have to cut that down to \$1.5 million
- f) Susan goes over subcommittee assignments
 - i) Subcommittee A: Adam Jackson-Boothby & Tiffany Lau
 - ii) Subcommittee B: Dana Simmons & Chris Kim
 - iii) Subcommittee C: Nick Park, Gary Coyne & Rudy Curiel
 - iv) Subcommittee D: Stephen Lee, Johnny Ren & Jolene Tuz
 - v) Subcommittee E: Alex Maduena, Jennifer Wright & Pierre Keller
 - vi) Assignments will be sent out in e-mail as well
- g) Ali goes over subcommittee departments
 - i) Subcommittee A: Career Services, Graduate Student Association, International Education Center, Academic Resource Center and Campus Health Center
 - ii) Subcommittee B: Child Development Center, VCSA Technology Services, Women's Resource Center and Student Special Services
 - iii) Subcommittee C: African American Student Programs, Asian Pacific Student Programs, Chicano Student Programs, LGBTRC and Native American Student Programs
 - iv) Subcommittee D: Judicial Affairs and Mediation, Dean of Students, Student Life and University Band
 - v) Subcommittee E: Athletics Department, Recreation Program, Commons, KUCR and Counseling Center
- h) Each department has submitted a narrative in which the details of the request are given. Also, referral back to the document given by Counsel Student Fees while reviewing requests is advised. Another document to keep in mind is one sent out by

the UC Regents that spells out which things the committee can and cannot fund. [See Sharepoint document ‘UCOP Campus SSF Implementation’]

- i) Academic Resource Center [formerly known as the Learning Center]
 - i) Provide tutoring and early morning services, as well as the Summer Bridge program
 - ii) Total requested: \$265,180
 - iii) Some requests (in general) may be due to salary increases - several people have received reclassification/promotion.
 - j) African Student Programs
 - i) This year, departments were asked to combine their temps into one request. However, there are requests that are temp and continuously brought up each year. Subcommittee members should ask departments which requests the committee has funded for the last few years, but this does not necessarily mean that the committee must fund them again.
 - ii) Total perm request: \$29,395
 - iii) Total temp request: \$4,500
 - iv) They host the Welcome BBQ, which attracts a lot of freshman. Last year, about 500 people attended.
 - k) Asian Pacific Student Programs
 - i) Total perm request: \$33,760
 - ii) Total temp request: \$13,500
 - iii) Another thing to keep in mind: the campus sometimes increases its mandatory charges to departments. The fee is then higher than what some departments had been budgeted for. Again, it does not necessarily mean that the committee must fund them but it would be wise to ask the departments what would happen if they were not funded.
 - l) Campus Health Center
 - i) Requesting funds for another physician and psychiatrist, as well as extended hours, in adjustment to the increase in students.
 - ii) Total request: 145,531
 - iii) Having a psychiatrist is a huge issue on many campuses and psychiatric/psychological support will always be needed. Currently, the psychiatric appointments have backlogged into March.
 - iv) Again, ask the departments what would happen if they are not funded and what their back-up plans are.
 - m) Danny introduces Matt Hull – Associate Vice Chancellor
 - i) Matt will be the one to look at funding versus funding structure and provide suggestions/recommendations.
 - ii) Presentation will be about the current status of the budget.
- 5) **Presentation from Associate Vice Chancellor Matt Hull – RP&B**
- a) Handout: Student Services Fee Advisory Committee FY 2010-11 Booklet
 - i) The committee will look at what the UC Regents have requested and how that affects the SSFAC’s funding pool.
 - ii) Page 2: Funding request that the UC System put forward to the state. This is the starting point for projections of what will happen on the student services fee side.
 - i) Cost projections are built in the Regents’ request
 - (a) For example: what our retirement contribution will be, will our health benefits go up, will there be compensation increases, etc.

- ii) Committee will use data to build cost items into the student services fee
- iii) Page 11: starting point of the modeling by Stephanie
 - i) Details the assumptions in developing the model
 - (a) For example: how many students were expected to enroll, fee increase projections, built in 5% for future years, etc.
 - (b) Retirement fee increase
 - (i) Single largest cost item
 - (ii) Employer side did not contribute to the retirement fund for years because it was well funded, but that is no longer the case. This year, the cost will be 4%, which will be increased to 7% for next year. Employees will be funding it as well.
- iv) Table 1: permanent decisions past SSFAC committees have made.
 - i) Highlighted items are shown in other tables as well
 - ii) About \$15 million budgeted between UC and UCR Student Services Fee
- v) Table 2: How units closed the book last fiscal year, whether or not they had extra money left over (positive number) or if they went into deficit (negative number)
 - i) Example of why they might have spent more money: purchasing items for the new year, so ask departments why they went into deficit
 - ii) Last year, the committee carried forward about \$3.5 million
- vi) Table 3: Projected expenditures & revenue (Fiscal Years 2010-11 to 2014-15)
 - i) Each year falls alone. For example, 2014-15 has about \$1 million projected. If the committee were to allocate more than that permanently this year, then 2014-15 will have a \$2 million shortfall.
 - ii) Tables will be adjusted to circumstance as time goes on.
- vii) Table 4: Mental Health Detail
 - i) Tracks mental health after the fee increase with it's separate political process, etc
- viii) Table 5: UCR Student Services Fee projected expenditures & revenue
 - i) Same kind of table as Table 3, with less complicated funds
- ix) Table 6: Fund Performance
 - i) Shows what really happened in the fiscal years
 - ii) STIP: Short Term Investment Pool Earnings
 - iii) Other tables were prospective, this one is retrospective
- x) Table 7: Projected operating & capital reserves (Fiscal Years 2009-10 to 2013-14)
- xi) Table 7a: Total Planned Capital Expenditures: \$11-13 million
 - i) Upper Mall: \$2-3 million
 - ii) Vietch: \$9-10 million
 - iii) Architects may come talk about projects at next SSFAC meeting
 - iv) Plan is going well so far
- b) Letter from President to the Regents
 - i) State has about a \$26 billion problem
 - ii) Governor proposed \$12.5 billion in cuts
 - iii) \$500 million each to UC and CSU
 - iv) A couple of billion is switching revenue/books
 - v) The rest of the money will be tax increases
 - vi) \$8 billion will be going to voters in June
 - i) Asking voters to extend certain tax increases
 - ii) Should the vote not go through, we will lose \$8-12 billion in solutions

iii) The \$500 million cut right now is just the beginning/best case.

6) **Review Addenda Summary [continued]**

- a) Child Development Center
 - i) Total request: \$460,826
 - i) Lost a grant and need money for existing costs
 - ii) Currently, SSFAC provides CDC with about \$600,000 in perm to cover the 70 or so grad and undergrad students with children, which gives those students a heavy discount on childcare
- b) Athletics
 - i) Huge traveling funding request

7) **Susan goes over the Sharepoint**

MOTION:	Gary Coyne/Chris Kim
----------------	----------------------

- a) Moved to adjourn 01/21/11 meeting
 - i) Vote: unanimous – motion carries

8) **Adjourn** 4:31 PM